

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)

INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT

(A Unit of SPMCIL)

IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/24/00001 dated 23.01.2024

Banit : Appellant
Vs.
CPIO(Tech), IGMH, : Respondent
Hyderabad

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application under RTI Act, 2005 which has been forwarded to the Public Authority Under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 on January 23rd, 2024 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”) through the RTI MIS Portal bearing Registration No: IGMHY/R/T/24/00006. The respondent disposed of the request vide his online reply dated January 24th, 2024 to the appellant. The appellant filed the present appeal dated January 24th, 2024 against the above response. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the Appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. From the Appeal, I note that the appellant is aggrieved by the respondent’s response to his application for not providing information as sought with respect to coins minted between 1935 to 2023.

Queries in the application:

| Sl No. | Date of Application | Information sought |
|---------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | January 23 rd , 2024 | 1947 - 2023 के बीच जारी सिक्कों का विवरण |

3. The respondent provided the information to the appellant well within the prescribed period of time as per the provisions contained in the RTI Act, 2005.
4. **Grounds in Appeal** – The applicant raised the appeal as below:

“PROVIDE INCOMPLETE OR FALSE INFORMATION, PROVIDED URL LINK AND THEN OTHER LINK NOT OPEN AND USEFUL, PLZ GIVE INFORMATION BY POST AS PER REQUEST APPLICATION MENSION.”

5. In this regard, the FAA observes that requisite information as is available with the public authority has already been uploaded in the Public Domain at the domain address,

<https://igmhyderabad.spmcil.com/en/discover-spmcil/#rticomplaine/> (under RTI Compliance Tab). That apart the same has been communicated to the Appellant by the concerned CPIO on 24.01.2024. Under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 the CPIO is not required to compile the information he is only required to provide the information as is available with the public authority.

Since the information as available with the public authority has already been made available at the aforementioned domain under Pro-Active disclosure norms of the Act in terms of RTI Query replies to various applicants, the reply provided by the CPIO is in line with the provisions of the Act.

6. In furtherance of the provisions of the RTI Act, direct links for all such files are provided below for the sake of brevity containing relevant information as available:

2020: <https://shorturl.at/dvHYZ>

2021: <https://shorturl.at/cswF5>

2022: <https://shorturl.at/dsJX4>

2023: <https://shorturl.at/gpNVY>

2024: <https://igmhyderabad.spmcil.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/RTI-requests-and-replies-as-on-24.01.2024.pdf>

Further, Production details of different years from 1957-2024 are hereby enclosed as per the available records.

7. In exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the appellate authority disposes of this first appeal with the above information.

8. **Accordingly, the Appeal stands disposed.**

The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

**Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.**

Place: Hyderabad

Date: February 23rd, 2024

F.No. IGMH/RTI/FAA/2021-22 /

Sd/-

(VNR NAYUDU)

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY &
CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER**

**To,
Shri. Banit,
S/O RAM RISHI , PO KHANDA,
TEHSIL: KHARKHODA,
DIST: SONIPAT,
HARYANA- 131 402.
Mobile: 7272020720**

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)

INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT

(A Unit of SPMCIL)

IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/24/00002 dated 25.01.2024

Challa Shiva Kumar : Appellant
Vs.
CPIO(Tech), IGMH, : Respondent
Hyderabad

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application under RTI Act, 2005 which has been forwarded to the Public Authority Under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 on January 16th, 2024 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”) through the RTI MIS Portal bearing Registration No: IGMHY/R/T/24/00003. The respondent disposed of the request vide his online reply dated January 18th, 2024 to the appellant. The appellant filed the present appeal dated January 25th, 2024 against the above response. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the Appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. From the Appeal, I note that the appellant is aggrieved by the respondent’s response to his application for not providing Attested letter of the reply.

Queries in the application:

| Sl No. | Date of Application | Information sought |
|---------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | January 23 rd , 2024 | Requesting for the information under RTI Act, 2005. 1. 1 Rupee 1997 how many coins were minted Hyderabad mint house. 2. As per RTI Act, 2005 Section 7(2)2 please provide the information with duly attested by the respective CPIO. Concerned CPIO |

3. The respondent provided the information to the appellant well within the prescribed period of time as per the provisions contained in the RTI Act, 2005.
4. **Grounds in Appeal** – The applicant raised the appeal as below:

“Requested for the information under the Reference number IGMHY/R/T/24/00003, In the Application requested for the 2 point as follows 1. 1 Rupee 1997 how many coins were minted Hyderabad mint house. 2. As per RTI Act, 2005 Section 7(2)2 please provide the information with duly attested by the respective CPIO. Received the reply for the 1st point as "No information is available as per the records being

maintained by this office." And the second point is not follows and in don't understand the CPIO dont even know the process of reply of RTI, No attestation of letter even after mention. I request first appellate authority please look into and requesting for the information of, 1 Rupee 1997 how many coins were minted Hyderabad mint house."

5. **Points for Consideration:**

- a) **Whether the information requested by the Appellant was not provided to the Appellant as mandated by Section 7(2) of the RTI Act, 2005?**
- b) **Whether due attestation is required for the information provided electronically?**

The points standing for consideration of the FAA are dealt as below:

a) **Whether the information requested by the Appellant was not provided to the Appellant as mandated by Section 7(2) of the RTI Act, 2005?**

- i) For the sake of brevity Section 7(1) & 7(2) of the RTI Act, 2005 is being reiterated below:

"7(2) If the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, fails to give decision on the request for information within the period specified under sub-section (1), the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, shall be deemed to have refused the request."

7. (1) Subject to the proviso to sub-section (2) of section 5 or the proviso to subsection (3) of section 6, the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, on receipt of a request under section 6 shall, as expeditiously as possible, and in any case within thirty days of the receipt of the request, either provide the information on payment of such fee as may be prescribed or reject the request for any of the reasons specified in sections 8 and 9: Provided that where the information sought for concerns the life or liberty of a person, the same shall be provided within forty-eight hours of the receipt of the request."

- ii) The FAA observes that, contents of the Sections reiterated above does not conform with the claims being made by the Appellant. Section 7(2) of the RTI Act only delves into the fact that when a request is considered as refused if information is not provided within the statutory period provided under Section 7(1) of the Act. The section does not in any way deal with regard to the provisions of Certified copies or attested documents.

In view of the above, no further consideration in this regard is warranted by the FAA.

b) **Whether due attestation is required for the information provided electronically?**

- i) As per the provisions of Section 4 of the Information Technology Act, 2005 all electronic records are legally recognised i.e. ***"Where any law provides that information or any other matter shall be in writing or in the typewritten or printed form, then, notwithstanding anything contained in such law, such***

requirement shall be deemed to have been satisfied if such information or matter is— (a) rendered or made available in an electronic form; and (b) accessible so as to be usable for a subsequent reference.”

Hence, there is no statutory imposition/requirement has been casted upon the CPIO to provide certified copies of the information being provided electronically through RTI Portal. That apart, in the present circumstance it is observed that CPIO merely replied that “No information is available in the records being maintained in the office” and the CPIO did not provide any documents or records or extracts which have to be provided as True Copies or Certified copies in terms of the provisions contained in Section 2(j)(ii) of the RTI Act, 2005 and DoPT O.M.No.10/1/2013-IR dated 06.10.2015.

In view of the above, no further consideration in this regard is warranted by the FAA.

6. As far as the request of the Appellant with regard to provision of information on □.1/- of 1997 minted by Hyderabad Mint is concerned, the FAA after verifying the concerned records is of the view the no information could be traced as per the records being maintained by the office.
7. In exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the appellate authority disposes of this first appeal with the above information.

8. **Accordingly, the Appeal stands disposed.**

The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

**Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.**

Place: Hyderabad

Date: February 23rd, 2024

F.No. IGMH/RTI/FAA/2021-22 /

Sd/-

(VNR NAYUDU)

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY &
CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER**

**To,
Shri. Challa Shiva Kumar,
#8-3-674, Bhagath Nagar,
Karimnagar – 505 001.
Mobile: 9700428266**

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IGMHY/A/E/24/00003

| | | | | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Applicant Name | kapase santosh rajshekhar | | | |
| Text of Appeal | I want to see my individual scores fpr the exam given by me - SUPERVISOR MECHANICAL. | | | |
| Reply of Appeal | Dear Sir, I have perused your RTI First appeal and after verifying the reply of the CPIO and contents of the records, I note that the process of recruitment is yet to be finalised. Wherever Recruitment has been finalised, candidates marks have been displayed on the website. MArks of Supervisor(MEchanical) shall be displayed on the website once the final result of the same is declared. The First Appeal is accordingly disposed of. Yours Faithfully, FAA, IGMH | | | |
| SN. | Action Taken | Date of Action | Action Taken By | Remarks |
| 1 | FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED | 06/02/2024 | | |
| 2 | APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY | 20/02/2024 | Nodal Officer | Online |
| 3 | APPEAL DISPOSED OF | 26/02/2024 | FAA - VNR NAYUDU | |
| Print | | | | |

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)

INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT

(A Unit of SPMCIL)

IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/24/00004 dated 09.02.2024

Pasham Sainath : Appellant
Vs.
CPIO(HR), IGMH, : Respondent
Hyderabad

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application under RTI Act, 2005 on January 16th, 2024 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”) through the RTI MIS Portal bearing Registration No: IGMHY/R/E/24/00008. The respondent disposed of the request vide his online reply dated February 06th, 2024 to the appellant. The appellant filed the present appeal dated February 09th, 2024 against the above response. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the Appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. From the Appeal, I note that the appellant is aggrieved by the respondent’s response to his application for not resolving his grievance for constitution of Review DPC.

Queries in the application:

| Sl No. | Date of Application | Information sought |
|---------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | January 16 th , 2024 | Sir, Ref1: No.1-302/Review DPC/Per./2023-24/652 dated:06.07.2023 (Attached) Ref2: Grievance Id:1057 in public grievance redressal portal (Attached) With reference to above Please provide copy of reply received for Ref1 from CHO and also provide present status of my review DPC as per Ref2 of online public grievance redressal portal of SPMCIL Shri Sriharsha sir replied in march 2023 that issue is under consideration of competent authority but still my grievance is not resolved. |

3. The respondent provided the information to the appellant well within the prescribed period of time as per the provisions contained in the RTI Act, 2005.
4. **Grounds in Appeal** – The applicant raised the appeal as below:

“Ref1:No.1-302/Review DPC/Per./2023-24/652 date:06.07.2023
In continuation, want to bring to your notice and submit following to your good office:

a) Coronavirus, or COVID-19(Pandemic), had a significant impact on India since its outbreak in early 2020. The virus spread rapidly across India, with the first case reported in year 2020 up to year 2022, millions of cases were reported across the

country and thousands of people have lost their lives. To curb the spread of the virus, the Indian government imposed several nationwide lockdowns and implemented strict restrictions on movement, gatherings, and businesses. The pandemic had profound social implications, affecting education, mental health, and social interactions. Disruptions in schooling, isolation measures, and economic hardships had lasting effects on communities across the country. Overall, the COVID-19 pandemic had a multifaceted impact on India, affecting health, economy, society, and governance.

b) IGM Hyderabad also issued circulars, notices and office orders from year 2020 to year 2022 to strictly adhere to covid-19 protocols and all related precautionary measures issued by MOH and FW and Govt of telangana from time to time.

c) It is duty and responsibility of DPC to estimate number of vacancies as accurately as possible considering vacancies arising in a post/grade/service in the relevant vacancy year due to retirement, regular long term promotion and deputation.

d) With reference to ref1 it is understood that DPC held on 30.06.2020 has not taken all material facts into consideration or material facts have not been brought to the notice of the DPC(Non reporting of vacancies due to error or omission which is injustice to me because it is artificially restricting zone of consideration) and as I was qualified & eligible for promotion to S2 Level as on 30.06.2020 due to superannuation of shri A.T.Ajai Senior Supervisor S2 on 30.06.2020 but I was omitted to be considered for promotion to S2 level wef 01.07.2020 by DPC held on 30.06.2020.

e) In view of all above my representation for review DPC was considered and facts were brought out in the DPC held on 30.06.2023 and the DPC has recommended to seek clarification from CHO for reviewing my promotion date as 30.06.2020 instead of 01.01.2021 as the same will affect the seniority available in the gradation list same detailed in letter ref1.

f) Reply to ref1 was given by CHO through mail dated 18.07.2023 which clearly stated that promotion of S1 and S2 level supervisors falls under jurisdiction of unit level and also directed to review my DPC as per promotion policy of machine staff, In this regard request your intervention for my review DPC as noted in DPC held on 30.06.2023(my promotion date as 30.06.2020 instead of 01.01.2021) and resolve my grievance please.”

5. Perused the RTI Query, Reply of the CPIO & Appeal of the Appellant.
6. On perusal of RTI Query & Reply of the CPIO it is found that the reply tendered by the CPIO is satisfactory. Further, on scrutiny of the First Appeal it is found that the Appellant has not actually filed the instant appeal for non-provision or incomplete provision of information by the CPIO. However, it is found that the Appellant has sought to resolve his grievance through this instant appeal. It is to state that under the provisions of the RTI Act, the FAA can only deal with the issues that have been earlier dealt with by the CPIO and proper information has not been given. Even common law principles provide that, in an appellate proceeding, the appellate forum can only delve into the facts dealt earlier by the lower level authority and no fresh additional submission can be made.
7. It is to be noted that, a Request or an Appeal under the Provisions of RTI Act, 2005 is not for redressal/resolution for any grievance. In this regard, the Central Information Commission

in the matter of Sh. Triveni Prasad Bahuguna v. LIC of India, Lucknow in Decision No. CIC/DS/A/2012/000906 dated 06.09.2012, had observed as follows:

"The Appellant is informed that ... redressal of grievance does not fall within the ambit of the RTI Act rather it is up to the Appellant to approach the correct grievance redressal forum..."

Moreover, in the matter of H. K. Bansal v. CPIO & GM (OP), MTNL in Decision No. CIC/LS/A/2011/000982/BS/1786 dated 29.01.2013, the Commission had observed as follows:

"The RTI Act is not the proper law for redressal of grievances/disputes and there are other appropriate forum(s) for resolving such matters."

Further, in the matter of Pratap Singh v. CPIO, Supreme Court of India; (2019 SCC OnLine CIC 1230.) Central Information Commission has observed as under:

"RTI Act is not the proper law for redressal of grievances and there are other appropriate fora for resolving such matters. ..."

8. In view of the foregoing observations, the FAA observes that due information has been provided to the appellant by the respondent and records that the RTI Act is not the proper law for the redressal of grievances and there are other appropriate fora for resolving such matters.
9. Hence, no further intervention of the FAA is required in the matter.
10. In exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the appellate authority disposes of this first appeal with the above observations.
11. **Accordingly, the Appeal stands disposed.**
The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

**Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.**

Sd/-

Place: Hyderabad

Date: March 05th, 2024

F.No. IGMH/RTI/FAA/2021-22 /

(VNR NAYUDU)

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY &
CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER**

To,
Shri. Pasham Sainath, H.No.1-64, Hydershakote, Bandlaguda Jagir Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad- 500091
Mobile: 9030397503

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IGMHY/A/E/24/00005

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Applicant Name | ROHIT MENON | | | |
| Text of Appeal | Information requested in incomplete. The number of tokens/medal sold, Issue date of token/medal should be available in SPMCIL records. Kindly provide the requested information for the following tokens/medals. Number of tokens/medal sold Issue date of token/medal . Bhagmati - Charminar Bhagmati - Golkonda Muhammad Quli Qutb Shah-Charminar Muhammad Quli Qutb Shah-Golkonda Bhagmati -Muhammad Quli Qutb Shah Charminar-Golkonda | | | |
| Reply of Appeal | Appeal No:IGMHY/A/E/24/00005 dated: 27.02.2024. Date of Disposal of the Appeal: 04.03.2024 Order 1) Shri.Rohit Menon has filed an RTI Request bearing Reg.no.IGMHY/R/E/24/00037 dated 18.02.2024, Seeking certain details. 2) The RTI has been forwarded by the Nodal officer to CPIO(F&A) in part and CPIO(Tech) in Part. 3) Whereas, the information with regard to the issue price of the Medals/Tokens has been forwarded to CPIO(F&A), information pertaining to QUANTITY/Issue Date/Die has been forwarded to CPIO(Tech) by the nodal officer. 4) Accordingly, CPIO(F&A) has provided requisite information as transferred with respect to Issue price of the Tokens well within the prescribed period under RTI Act. 5) Further, it is seen as on the date of disposal of the Present first appeal that CPIO(Technical) is yet to provide information as to the aspects of Quantity/Issue Date/Die Details. 6) In view of the above, no fault can be found as far as the information provided by the CPIO(F&A). 7) With the above observations the appeal stands disposed of. The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication . Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067. VNR Nayudu, CGM & Appellate Authority. | | | |
| SN. | Action Taken | Date of Action | Action Taken By | Remarks |
| 1 | FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED | 27/02/2024 | | |
| 2 | APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY | 04/03/2024 | Nodal Officer | Online |
| 3 | APPEAL DISPOSED OF | 04/03/2024 | FAA - VNR NAYUDU | |
| <input type="button" value="Print"/> | | | | |

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IGMHY/A/E/24/00006

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Applicant Name | TAMILMANI. S |
| Text of Appeal | Dear sir/madam, I want to know the information Please provide details of advt no-01/2023. MY REGISTRATION NO. : 347008381 ROLL NO. / USER ID : 1110000057 01. I wrote engraver (metal works) exam. I am the only one candidate wrote for this exam. My score is 48 - (OBC) category. I have not been called for document verification yet. 02. Am I selected or not? For this job. I am received mark sheet only. Thank you so much!!! Tamilmani (he/him). |
| Reply of Appeal | First Appeal No:IGMHY/A/E/24/00006 Date: 05.03.2024 Name of the Appellant: Mr.TamilMani S CPIO: CPIO(HR), IGMH. Order 1) An RTI Request was filed by the Appellant on 26.02.2024 seeking the status of the Results of Engraver(metal Works). 2) The concerned CPIO has disposed of the request on 26.02.2024 with relevant information within the timeline as per the provisions of the RTI Act. 3) The Appellant has filed the present first appeal on 05.03.2024 being not satisfied with the reply of the CPIO and sought the following relief: "I want to know the information Please provide details of advt no-01/2023. MY REGISTRATION NO. : 347008381 ROLL NO. / USER ID : 1110000057 01. I wrote engraver (metal works) exam. I am the only one candidate wrote for this exam. My score is 48 - (OBC) category. I have not been called for document verification yet. 02. Am I selected or not? For this job. I am received mark sheet only." 4) Perused the material on record and noted the contentions of the Appellant. 5) After perusing the material, I am of the view that the information provided by the CPIO is satisfactory. Further, it is to note that under the provisions of the RTI Act only such information as is available in the records can be provided, CPIO cannot provide information to hypothetical information nor he is bound to interpret any information. 6) With the above observations the RTI First Appeal is disposed of. 7) The Order can be appealed before the Central Information Commission, Munirka, New Delhi or on its portal cic.gov.in. VNR NAYUDU, FAA & Chief General Manager. |

| SN. | Action Taken | Date of Action | Action Taken By | Remarks |
|------------|---|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED | 05/03/2024 | | |
| 2 | APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY | 22/03/2024 | Nodal Officer | Online |
| 3 | APPEAL DISPOSED OF | 22/03/2024 | FAA - VNR NAYUDU | |

Print

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IGMHY/A/E/24/00007

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Applicant Name | surendra kushwaha | | | |
| Text of Appeal | Q1.MINTAGE OF 2RS. COIN DEPICTING AGRICULTURAL DOMINANCE OF THE COUNTRY BY HYDERABAD MINT BETWEEN 1-1-2022 TO 31-12-2022? Q2.MINTAGE OF 5RS. COIN DEPICTING AGRICULTURAL DOMINANCE OF THE COUNTRY BY HYDERABAD MINT BETWEEN 1-1-2022 TO 31-12-2022? Q3.MINTAGE OF 10RS. COIN DEPICTING AGRICULTURAL DOMINANCE OF THE COUNTRY BY HYDERABAD MINT BETWEEN 1-1-2022 TO 31-12-2022? Q4.MINTAGE OF 20RS. COIN DEPICTING AGRICULTURAL DOMINANCE OF THE COUNTRY BY HYDERABAD MINT BETWEEN 1-1-2022 TO 31-12-2022? PLEASE PROVIDE REPLY IN PDF FORMAT AND IN QUESTION ANSWER FORMAT | | | |
| Reply of Appeal | First Appeal No:IGMHY/A/E/24/00007 Date: 17.04.2024 Name of the Appellant: Mr.Surendra Kushwaha CPIO: CPIO(Technical), IGMH. Order 1) An RTI Request was filed by the Appellant on 16.04.2024 seeking Mintage of Various denomination of coins pertaining to Agricultural Dominance. 2) The concerned CPIO has disposed of the request on 17.04.2024 with relevant information within the timeline as per the provisions of the RTI Act. 3) The Appellant has filed the present first appeal on 17.04.2024 being not satisfied with the reply of the CPIO and sought the following relief: "PLEASE PROVIDE REPLY IN PDF FORMAT AND IN QUESTION ANSWER FORMAT." 4) Perused the material on record and noted the contentions of the Appellant. 5) After perusing the material, I am of the view that the information provided by the CPIO is satisfactory. It is to note here that CPIO has already provided requisite information as sought. Further, it is to note that under the provisions of the RTI Act, the information as is available in the records can be provided, the Applicant cannot seek to receive information in a certain format or compilation. It is further seen that the Appellant did not seek to provide in such a format in his RTI Application and cannot seek the same in the Appellate Stage. 6) With the above observations the RTI First Appeal is disposed of. 7) The Order can be appealed before the Central Information Commission, Munirka, New Delhi or on its portal cic.gov.in. VNR NAYUDU, FAA & Chief General Manager. | | | |
| SN. | Action Taken | Date of Action | Action Taken By | Remarks |
| 1 | FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED | 17/04/2024 | | |
| 2 | APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY | 24/04/2024 | Nodal Officer | Online |
| 3 | APPEAL DISPOSED OF | 25/04/2024 | FAA - VNR NAYUDU | |
| <input type="button" value="Print"/> | | | | |

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IGMHY/A/E/24/00008

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Applicant Name | Sourav Chatterji | | | |
| Text of Appeal | 1) Roles and responsibilities of all the workmen recruited under the advertisement no. 02/2023 | | | |
| Reply of Appeal | First Appeal No:IGMHY/A/E/24/00008 Date: 18.04.2024 Name of the Appellant: Mr.Sourav Chatterji CPIO: CPIO(HR), IGMH. Order 1) An RTI Request was filed by the Appellant on 02.04.2024 seeking Roles and responsibilities of Various posts in the Cadre of Junior Technician. 2) The concerned CPIO has disposed of the request on 10.04.2024 with relevant information within the timeline as per the provisions of the RTI Act. 3) The Appellant has filed the present first appeal on 18.04.2024 being not satisfied with the reply of the CPIO and sought the following relief: "1) Roles and responsibilities of all the workmen recruited under the advertisement no. 02/2023 ." 4) Perused the material on record and noted the contentions of the Appellant. 5) After perusing the material, I am of the view that the information provided by the CPIO is satisfactory. Further, it is to note that under the provisions of the RTI Act only such information as is available in the records can be provided, CPIO cannot provide information to hypothetical questions for which no information is available in the records nor he is bound to interpret any information. 6) With the above observations the RTI First Appeal is disposed of. 7) The Order can be appealed before the Central Information Commission, Munirka, New Delhi or on its portal cic.gov.in. VNR NAYUDU, FAA & Chief General Manager. | | | |
| SN. | Action Taken | Date of Action | Action Taken By | Remarks |
| 1 | FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED | 18/04/2024 | | |
| 2 | APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY | 24/04/2024 | Nodal Officer | Online |
| 3 | APPEAL DISPOSED OF | 25/04/2024 | FAA - VNR NAYUDU | |
| <input type="button" value="Print"/> | | | | |

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IGMHY/A/E/24/00009

| | | | | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Applicant Name | himanth | | | |
| Text of Appeal | SUPERVISOR ELECTRONICS Question paper/ response sheet has not been provided, so I was appealing for 1st appeal. | | | |
| Reply of Appeal | <p>First Appeal No:IGMHY/A/E/24/00009 Date: 04.05.2024 Name of the Appellant: Mr.Himanth CPIO: CPIO(HR), IGMH. Order 1) An RTI Request was filed by the Appellant on 02.03.2024 seeking Question paper or to provide his exam response sheet pertaining to the Post of Supervisor(Electronics) advertised vide Advt.No.01/2023. 2) The concerned CPIO has disposed of the request on 10.04.2024 with relevant information within the timeline as per the provisions of the RTI Act. 3) The Appellant has filed the present first appeal on 04.05.2024 being not satisfied with the reply of the CPIO and sought the following relief: "SUPERVISOR ELECTRONICS Question paper/ response sheet has not been provided, so I was appealing for 1st appeal." 4) Perused the material on record and noted the contentions of the Appellant. 5) After perusing the material, I am of the view that the Appeal has been disposed of by the concerned CPIO on 06.03.2024. As such as per the provisions contained in Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005 any person who is aggrieved by a decision of the Central Public Information Officer may within thirty days from the expiry of such period or from the receipt of such a decision can file First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority. However, in the present case it is found that the CPIO has provided the information on 06.03.2024 and the Appellant filed the present appeal on 04.05.2024 after a period of 58 days. On this ground alone, the first appeal deserves to be disposed of as beyond the period of limitation. However, considering the fulfilment of aims and objectives of the RTI Act, the present first appeal shall be dealt on merits 6) On perusal of the RTI Application of the Appellant, the Appellant sought details of his Question paper or his response sheet. The CPIO in his reply stated that the question paper is not available with the office as it has engaged the services of IBPS, Mumbai for undertaking the recruitment and as per the standard guidelines/policy of IBPS, the question paper cannot be provided. Further, with respect to provision of Response Sheet and Answer key for the cited Recruitment process the same was enclosed with the reply. The information sought by the Appellant is Question paper or Response Sheet and it is seen from the records that a suitable reply and necessary enclosures have already been supplied by the CPIO. Hence, no further intervention is required in the subject matter falling for consideration. 7) With the above observations the RTI First Appeal is disposed of. 8) The Order can be appealed before the Central Information Commission, Munirka, New Delhi or on its portal cic.gov.in. VNR NAYUDU, FAA & Chief General Manager</p> | | | |
| SN. | Action Taken | Date of Action | Action Taken By | Remarks |
| 1 | FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED | 04/05/2024 | | |
| 2 | APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY | 15/05/2024 | Nodal Officer | Online |
| 3 | APPEAL DISPOSED OF | 17/05/2024 | FAA - VNR NAYUDU | |
| Print | | | | |

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)

INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT

(A Unit of SPMCIL)

IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/24/00010 dated 24.05.2024

Ritesh Kumar Jain : Appellant
Vs.
CPIO(Tech), IGMH, : Respondent
Hyderabad

ORDER

1. An RTI Request was filed by the Appellant on 18.05.2024 seeking information pertaining to commemorative coin sets of Rs 100 coin for commemorating, Ter-Centenary Of Gur-Ta-Gaddi Of Shri. Guru Granth Sahib with Rupee Symbol (Instead of Rupee in alphabets) on the obverse.
2. The concerned CPIO has disposed of the request on 24.05.2024 with the following relevant information within the timeline as per the provisions of the RTI Act.

“For Sl.No.1 & 2: Commemorative coin sets with Rs 100 coin for commemorating, TerCentenary Of Gur-Ta-Gaddi Of Shri Guru Granth Sahib - Mintage: 452 Nos (No individual Records being maintained).”

3. The Appellant has filed the present first appeal on 24.05.2024 being not satisfied with the reply of the CPIO and sought the following relief:

“In the said application I specifically asked for information on coin sets with Rs 100 coin for commemorating, Ter-Centenary Of Gur-Ta-Gaddi Of Shri Guru Granth Sahib with *Rupee Symbol* (Instead of Rupee in alphabets) on the obverse, However the response has provide the mintage (452) of coin sets with Rs 100 coin for commemorating, TerCentenary Of Gur-Ta-Gaddi Of Shri Guru Granth Sahib with the comment that No individual records are maintained. The response does not answer the question on whether such coin set (with "Rupee" symbol) were minted by Hyderabad mint or not. Pls help getting the answer. Also, please clarify if the provided mintage (452) denotes all such coin sets or only coin set with *Rupee" symbol.”

4. Perused the material on record and noted the contentions of the Appellant.
5. After perusing the material, I am of the view that the requisite information as is available with the public authority has already been provided by the CPIO and it was specifically stated in the reply that no individual records are being maintained as to any bifurcation of such

coins

6. Since the information as available with the public authority has already been made available no further interference is warranted in the matter.
7. In exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the appellate authority disposes of this first appeal with the above observations.
8. **Accordingly, the Appeal stands disposed.**
The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dssic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

**Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.**

Place: Hyderabad

Date: May 29th, 2024

F.No. IGMH/RTI/FAA/2021-22 /361

Sd/-

(VNR NAYUDU)

APPELLATE AUTHORITY &

CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER

**To,
Shri. Ritesh Kumar Jain.**

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)

INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT

(A Unit of SPMCIL)

IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/24/00011 dated 29.05.2024

Surendra Kushwaha : Appellant
Vs.
CPIO(Tech), IGMH, : Respondent
Hyderabad

ORDER

1. An RTI Request was filed by the Appellant on 24.04.2024 seeking the following information:

Q1 Mintage of 1rs. coin based on the theme of international youth year by hyderabad mint house?

Q2 Mintage of 2rs. coin based on the theme of deshbandhu chittaranjan das by hyderabad mint house?

Q3 Mintage of 1rs. coin having year 1983 on it by hyderabad mint house?

Q4 Mintage of 1rs. coin having year 1984 on it by hyderabad mint house?

Q5 Mintage of 1rs. coin having year 1986 on it by hyderabad mint house?

Q6 Mintage of 5rs. coin having year 2004 on it by hyderabad mint house?

2. The concerned CPIO has disposed of the request on 21.05.2024 with the following relevant information within the timeline as per the provisions of the RTI Act.

*“For S.No.1: Nil
For S.No.2: Nil
For S.No.3: Nil
For S.No.4: Nil
For S.No.5: 21.4575 mpcs
For S.No.6: 58.6650 mpcs”*

3. The Appellant has filed the present first appeal on 29.05.2024 being not satisfied with the reply of the CPIO with a ground that the Provided information is Incomplete, Misleading or False and sought the following relief:

Q1 Mintage of 1rs. coin based on the theme of international youth year by hyderabad mint house?

Q2 Mintage of 2rs. coin based on the theme of deshbandhu chittaranjan das by hyderabad mint house?

Q3 Mintage of 1rs. coin having year 1983 on it by hyderabad mint house?

Q4 Mintage of 1rs. coin having year 1984 on it by hyderabad mint house?

Q5 Mintage of 1rs. coin having year 1986 on it by hyderabad mint house?

Q6 Mintage of 5rs. coin having year 2004 on it by hyderabad mint house?

4. Perused the material on record and noted the contentions of the Appellant.
5. After perusing the material, it is observed that the Appellant has reiterated his RTI Application in the present First Appeal as far as Sl.No.5 & 6 are concerned for which the CPIO has already provided the requisite production figures. Further, with respect to Sl.No.1 to 4, it is observed that CPIO has provided an information stating the figures of production to be 'NIL.'
6. I am of the view that the requisite information as is available with the public authority has already been provided by the CPIO and a CPIO can only provide such information as is held by the Public Authority.
7. Since the information as available with the public authority has already been made available, no further interference is warranted in the matter.
8. In exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the appellate authority disposes of this first appeal with the above observations.
9. **Accordingly, the Appeal stands disposed.**
The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

**Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.**

Place: Hyderabad
Date: May 29th, 2024
F.No. IGMH/RTI/FAA/2021-22 /362

Sd/-
(VNR NAYUDU)
**APPELLATE AUTHORITY &
CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER**

To,
Shri. Surendra Kushwaha.

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)

INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT

(A Unit of SPMCIL)

IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/24/00012 dated 29.05.2024

Surendra Kushwaha : Appellant
Vs.
CPIO(Tech), IGMH, : Respondent
Hyderabad

ORDER

1. An RTI Request was filed by the Appellant on 04.05.2024 seeking the following information:

Q1 Mintage of souvenir token weight 10gms metal (silver) based on the theme of celebrating 120 years hyderabad mint?

Q2 Mintage of souvenir token weight 10gms metal (copper) based on the theme of celebrating 120 years hyderabad mint?

Q3 Mintage of souvenir token weight 50gms metal (copper) based on the theme of celebrating 120 years hyderabad mint?

Q4 Mintage of 10rs. unc sets coin based on theme Shri krishna chaitnya mahaprabhu?

Q5 Mintage of 50 paise coin metal (copper nickle) having year 1989 on it?

Q6.Mintage of 25 paise coin metal (copper nickle) having year 1984 on it?

Q7 Mintage of 1 pice coin metal (bronze) having year 1953 on it?

Q8 Mintage of 1 pice coin metal (bronze) having year 1954 on it?

Q9 Mintage of 1 pice coin metal (bronze) having year 1955 on it?

Q10 Mintage of 5 paise coin metal (copper nickle) having year 1964 on it?

Q11 Mintage of 5 paise coin metal (copper nickle) having year 1965 on it?

2. The concerned CPIO has disposed of the request on 24.05.2024 with the following relevant information within the timeline as per the provisions of the RTI Act.

“Q1: 983 Nos

Q2.2207 Nos

Q3 : 465 Nos

Q4 :4984 Nos

Q5 : Nil

Q6.: Nil

Q7: Nil

Q8 : Nil

Q9 : Nil

**Q10 : 32.5600 mpcs
Q11 : 11.6150 mpcs”**

3. The Appellant has filed the present first appeal on 29.05.2024 being not satisfied with the reply of the CPIO with a ground that the Provided information is Incomplete, Misleading or False and sought the following relief:

Q1 Mintage of souvenir token weight 10gms metal (silver) based on the theme of celebrating 120 years hyderabad mint?

Q2 Mintage of souvenir token weight 10gms metal (copper) based on the theme of celebrating 120 years hyderabad mint?

Q3 Mintage of souvenir token weight 50gms metal (copper) based on the theme of celebrating 120 years hyderabad mint?

Q4 Mintage of 10rs. single coin unc sets based on theme 500th Anniversary Of Shri Krishna Chaitanya Mahaprabhu Coming to Vrindawan?

4. Perused the material on record and noted the contentions of the Appellant.
5. On perusal of RTI Query & Reply of the CPIO it is found that the reply tendered by the CPIO is satisfactory. Further, on scrutiny of the First Appeal it is found that the Appellant has not actually filed the instant appeal for non-provision or incomplete provision of information by the CPIO. However, it is found that the Appellant has sought same information in the guise of filing this instant appeal. When the information sought has already been provided by the CPIO and the same has been replied with the requisite information no appeal is warranted until and unless information provided is incomplete or misleading or false. However, it is observed that the Appellant has just reiterated his earlier RTI Queries(Sl.No.1 to 4) without providing any specific ground for such reiteration. The following information as noticed has already been provided by the CPIO for the appeal raised herein:

***“Q1: 983 Nos
Q2:2207 Nos
Q3 : 465 Nos
Q4 :4984 Nos”***

6. In view of the foregoing observations, the FAA observes that due information has been provided to the appellant by the respondent. Hence, no further intervention of the FAA is required in the matter.
7. In exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the appellate authority disposes of this first appeal with the above observations.

8 **Accordingly, the Appeal stands disposed.**

The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

**Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.**

Place: Hyderabad

Date: May 29th, 2024

F.No. IGMH/RTI/FAA/2021-22 /363

Sd/-

(VNR NAYUDU)

APPELLATE AUTHORITY &

CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER

To,

Shri. Surendra Kushwaha.

| ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IGMHY/A/E/24/00013 | | | | |
|---|---|---|------------------------|----------------|
| Applicant Name | | Harsh | | |
| Text of Appeal | | Point no. 1 to 3 is not explained in the leave rules 2022 Please tell me that In a year the amount of LWP (leave without pay) one can avail without affecting his promotion | | |
| Reply of Appeal | | First Appeal No:IGMHY/A/E/24/00013 Date: 19.06.2024 Name of the Appellant: Mr. HARSHA CPIO: CPIO(HR), IGMH. Order 1) An RTI Request was filed by the Appellant on 07.06.2024 seeking RULes of LWP Leave and it affecting the promotion. 2) The concerned CPIO has disposed of the request on 08.06.2024 with relevant information within the timeline as per the provisions of the RTI Act. 3) The Appellant has filed the present first appeal on 19.06.2024 being not satisfied with the reply of the CPIO and sought the following relief: "Point no. 1 to 3 is not explained in the leave rules 2022 Please tell me that In a year the amount of LWP (leave without pay) one can avail without affecting his promotion ." 4) Perused the material on record and noted the contentions of the Appellant. 5) After perusing the material, I am of the view that the information provided by the CPIO is satisfactory. Further, it is to note that under the provisions of the RTI Act only such information as is available in the records can be provided, CPIO cannot provide information to hypothetical questions for which no information is available in the records nor he is bound to interpret any information. 6) With the above observations the RTI First Appeal is disposed of. 7) The Order can be appealed before the Central Information Commission, Munirka, New Delhi or on its portal cic.gov.in. VNR NAYUDU, FAA & Chief General Manager. | | |
| SN. | Action Taken | Date of Action | Action Taken By | Remarks |
| 1 | FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED | 19/06/2024 | | |
| 2 | APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY | 06/07/2024 | Nodal Officer | Online |
| 3 | APPEAL DISPOSED OF | 06/07/2024 | FAA - SUNIL TIWARI | |
| <input type="button" value="Print"/> | | | | |

| ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IGMHY/A/L/23/00001 | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|------------------------|----------------|
| Applicant Name | | KONGALA RAM PRASAD | | |
| Text of Appeal | | As per Physical Appeal | | |
| Reply of Appeal | | REASONED ORDER DISPATCHED BY SPEED POST | | |
| SN. | Action Taken | Date of Action | Action Taken By | Remarks |
| 1 | FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED | 21/11/2023 | | |
| 2 | APPEAL DISPOSED OF | 21/11/2023 | FAA - SUNIL TIWARI | |
| <input type="button" value="Print"/> | | | | |

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)

INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT

(A Unit of SPMCIL)

IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/24/00014 dated 02.07.2024

Harpreet Singh : Appellant
Vs.
CPIO(Tech), IGMH, : Respondent
Hyderabad

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application under RTI Act, 2005 on May 21st, 2024 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”) through the RTI MIS Portal bearing Registration No: IGMHY/R/E/24/00066. The respondent disposed of the request vide his online reply dated June 12th, 2024 to the appellant. The appellant filed the present appeal dated July 02nd, 2024 against the above response. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the Appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. From the Appeal, I note that the appellant is aggrieved by the respondent’s response to his application for not providing “Name of the Series of Coins being Minted” from 01.01.2024.

Queries in the application:

| Sl No. | Date of Application | Information sought |
|--------|-----------------------------|---|
| 1 | May 21 st , 2024 | 1) Provide denomination wise details Name, Series, Quantity of coins Minted From 1st Jan 2024 till date. 2) Attested Copy of orders/notification received for minting of the above. |

3. The respondent provided the information to the appellant well within the prescribed period of time as per the provisions contained in the RTI Act, 2005.

4. **Grounds in Appeal** – The applicant raised the appeal as below:

Incomplete information provided:-

For Point 1: Coin Series name not provided.

For Point 2: Attested Copy of orders/ notification minting the coins not provided.

5. In this regard, the FAA disposes of the RTI Application with the following information

For S.No.1: Name of the Series-Azadi Ka Amrut Mahotsav

For S.No.2: Gazette Notification with respect to Minting of Coins at Sl.No.1 can be accessed through the website egazette.gov.in & a copy of the same is herewith enclosed.

6. In exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the appellate authority disposes of this first appeal with the above information.

7. **Accordingly, the Appeal stands disposed.**

The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

**Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.**

Place: Hyderabad

Date: July 23rd, 2024

F.No. IGMH/RTI/FAA/2021-22 /

Sd/-

(Sunil Tiwari)

APPELLATE AUTHORITY &

GENERAL MANAGER

To,
Shri. Harpreet Singh,
355 Friends Colony, Jassian Road,
G.T. Road Side,
opp. Common Service Center,
Ludhiana-141008
Mobile: 9815755575



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

सी.जी.-डी.एल.-अ.-08112021-230973
CG-DL-E-08112021-230973

असाधारण
EXTRAORDINARY

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (i)
PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (i)

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 634]
No. 634]

नई दिल्ली, सोमवार, नवम्बर 8, 2021/कार्तिक 17, 1943
NEW DELHI, MONDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2021/KARTIKA 17, 1943

वित्त मंत्रालय

(आर्थिक कार्य विभाग)

अधिसूचना

नई दिल्ली, 8 नवम्बर, 2021

सा.का.नि. 783(अ).—केंद्रीय सरकार, सिक्का निर्माण अधिनियम, 2011 (2011 का 11) की धारा 24 की उपधारा (2) के खंड (घ) और खंड (ङ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है, अर्थात् -

- संक्षिप्त नाम और प्रारम्भ.- (1) इन नियमों का संक्षिप्त नाम एक रूपया, दो रूपये, पाँच रूपये, दस रूपये और बीस रूपये के सिक्का निर्माण नियम, 2021 है।
(2) ये राजपत्र में उनके प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।
- सिक्कों का अंकित मूल्य:- केन्द्रीय सरकार के प्राधिकार के अधीन जारी करने हेतु एक साल में एक रूपया, दो रूपये, पाँच रूपये, दस रूपये और बीस रूपये मूल्यवर्ग के सिक्कों का निर्माण किया जाएगा।
- सिक्कों की विमाण और संरचना:- नियम 2 में उपर्युक्त अंकित मूल्य के सिक्के निम्नलिखित विमाणों और संरचना के अनुरूप होंगे, अर्थात् :-

| सिक्कों का मूल्यवर्ग | आकृति और बाह्य व्यास | दाँतों की संख्या | धातु संरचना |
|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| एक रूपया | वृत्ताकार 20 मिलीमीटर | शून्य | फेरिटिक स्टेनलेस स्टील लोहा -83%, क्रोमियम -17% |

| | | | |
|------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| दो रूपये | वृत्ताकार 23 मिलीमीटर | किनारे पर 50 चौड़े दांते | फेरिटिक स्टेनलेस स्टील लोहा -83%, क्रोमियम -17% |
| पाँच रूपये | वृत्ताकार 25 मिलीमीटर | शून्य | निकल पीतल तांबा : 75% जस्ता : 20% निकल : 05% |
| दस रूपये | वृत्ताकार 27 मिलीमीटर | किनारे पर 100 दांते | बाह्य वलय निकल पीतल तांबा : 75% जस्ता : 20% निकल : 05% मध्य भाग निकल चाँदी तांबा : 65% जस्ता : 15% निकल : 20% |
| बीस रूपये | 12 किनारेदार (बहुभुज) 27 मिलीमीटर | शून्य | बाह्य वलय निकल चाँदी तांबा : 65% जस्ता : 15% निकल : 20% मध्य भाग निकल पीतल तांबा : 75% जस्ता : 20% निकल : 05% |

4. डिज़ाइन:- सिक्कों का डिज़ाइन इन नियमों की पहली अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट किए अनुसार होगा।

5. मानक वजन तथा अनुमत छूट :- उपर्युक्त अंकित मूल्य के सिक्के का मानक वजन और ऐसे सिक्कों को बनाने में अनुमत छूट इन नियमों की दूसरी अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट किए अनुसार होगी।

पहली अनुसूची

(नियम 4 देखें)

(क) एक रुपया:

(i) अग्रभाग:

सिक्के के मुख भाग पर अशोक स्तंभ का सिंह शीर्ष होगा जिसके नीचे "सत्यमेव जयते" उत्कीर्णित होगा, उसकी बाईं परिधि पर हिन्दी में "भारत" शब्द और दाईं परिधि पर अंग्रेजी में "INDIA" शब्द होगा।

(ii) पृष्ठ भाग

सिक्के के इस भाग पर मध्य में " आज़ादी का अमृत महोत्सव " का आधिकारिक लोगो का चित्र होगा। इस चित्र के नीचे रूपये का प्रतीक " ₹ " अंतर्राष्ट्रीय अंको में अंकित मूल्य "1" के साथ दर्शाया होगा। सिक्के के ऊपरी परिधि पर अंग्रेजी में "75TH YEAR OF INDEPENDENCE" लिखा होगा। सिक्के के बाईं परिधि के मध्य भाग पर अंतर्राष्ट्रीय अंको में सिक्का ढलाई का वर्ष भी दर्शाया जाएगा।

(ख) दो रुपये:**(i) अग्रभाग:**

सिक्के के मुख भाग पर अशोक स्तंभ का सिंह शीर्ष होगा जिसके नीचे “सत्यमेव जयते” उत्कीर्णित होगा, उसकी बाईं परिधि पर हिन्दी में “भारत” शब्द और दाईं परिधि पर अंग्रेजी में “INDIA” शब्द होगा।

(ii) पृष्ठ भाग

सिक्के के इस भाग पर मध्य में “आज़ादी का अमृत महोत्सव” का आधिकारिक लोगो का चित्र होगा। इस चित्र के नीचे रूपये का प्रतीक “ ₹ ” अंतर्राष्ट्रीय अंको में अंकित मूल्य “2” के साथ दर्शाया होगा। सिक्के के ऊपरी परिधि पर अंग्रेजी में “75TH YEAR OF INDEPENDENCE” लिखा होगा। सिक्के के बाईं परिधि के मध्य भाग पर अंतर्राष्ट्रीय अंको में सिक्का ढलाई का वर्ष भी दर्शाया जाएगा।

(ग) पाँच रूपये:**(i) अग्रभाग:**

सिक्के के मुख भाग पर अशोक स्तंभ का सिंह शीर्ष होगा जिसके नीचे “सत्यमेव जयते” उत्कीर्णित होगा, उसकी बाईं परिधि पर हिन्दी में “भारत” शब्द और दाईं परिधि पर अंग्रेजी में “INDIA” शब्द होगा।

(ii) पृष्ठ भाग

सिक्के के इस भाग पर मध्य में “आज़ादी का अमृत महोत्सव” का आधिकारिक लोगो का चित्र होगा। इस चित्र के नीचे रूपये का प्रतीक “ ₹ ” अंतर्राष्ट्रीय अंको में अंकित मूल्य “5” के साथ दर्शाया होगा। सिक्के के ऊपरी परिधि पर अंग्रेजी में “75TH YEAR OF INDEPENDENCE” लिखा होगा। सिक्के के बाईं परिधि के मध्य भाग पर अंतर्राष्ट्रीय अंको में सिक्का ढलाई का वर्ष भी दर्शाया जाएगा।

(घ) दस रूपये:**(i) अग्रभाग**

सिक्के के मुख भाग पर अशोक स्तंभ का सिंह शीर्ष होगा जिसके नीचे “सत्यमेव जयते” उत्कीर्णित होगा, उसकी बाईं परिधि पर हिन्दी में “भारत” शब्द और दाईं परिधि पर अंग्रेजी में “INDIA” शब्द होगा।

(ii) पृष्ठ भाग

सिक्के के इस भाग पर मध्य में “आज़ादी का अमृत महोत्सव” का आधिकारिक लोगो का चित्र होगा। इस चित्र के नीचे रूपये का प्रतीक “ ₹ ” अंतर्राष्ट्रीय अंको में अंकित मूल्य “10” के साथ दर्शाया होगा। सिक्के के ऊपरी परिधि पर अंग्रेजी में “75TH YEAR OF INDEPENDENCE” लिखा होगा। सिक्के के बाईं परिधि के मध्य भाग पर अंतर्राष्ट्रीय अंको में सिक्का ढलाई का वर्ष भी दर्शाया जाएगा।

(ङ) बीस रूपये:**(i) अग्रभाग:**

सिक्के के मुख भाग पर अशोक स्तंभ का सिंह शीर्ष होगा जिसके नीचे “सत्यमेव जयते” उत्कीर्णित होगा, उसकी बाईं परिधि पर हिन्दी में “भारत” शब्द और दाईं परिधि पर अंग्रेजी में “INDIA” शब्द होगा।

(ii) पृष्ठ भाग

सिक्के के इस भाग पर मध्य में “आज़ादी का अमृत महोत्सव” का आधिकारिक लोगो का चित्र होगा। इस चित्र के नीचे रूपये का प्रतीक “ ₹ ” अंतर्राष्ट्रीय अंको में अंकित मूल्य “20” के साथ दर्शाया होगा। सिक्के के ऊपरी परिधि पर अंग्रेजी में “75TH YEAR OF INDEPENDENCE” लिखा होगा। सिक्के के बाईं परिधि के मध्य भाग पर अंतर्राष्ट्रीय अंको में सिक्का ढलाई का वर्ष भी दर्शाया जाएगा।

दूसरी अनुसूची

(नियम 5 देखें)

| सिक्कों का मूल्यवर्ग | मानक वज़न | संरचना में अनुमत छूट | मानक वज़न में अनुमत छूट |
|----------------------|------------|--|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| एक रुपया | 3.09 ग्राम | क्रोमियम में 1/100वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् क्रोमियम की मात्रा 16% से 18 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है। | 1/25वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् वज़न में 2.97 ग्राम से लेकर 3.21 ग्राम तक का अंतर हो सकता है। |
| दो रूपये | 4.07 ग्राम | क्रोमियम में 1/100वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् क्रोमियम की मात्रा 16% से 18 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है। | 1/25वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् वज़न में 3.91 ग्राम से लेकर 4.23 ग्राम तक का अंतर हो सकता है। |
| पाँच रूपये | 6.74 ग्राम | तांबा और जस्ता के लिए 1/100वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् तांबे की मात्रा में 74% से 76 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है तथा जस्ते की मात्रा में 19 % से 21 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है और निकल लिए एवं 1/200वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् निकल की मात्रा में 4.5 % से 5.5 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है। | 1/40वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् वज़न में 6.57 ग्राम से लेकर 6.91 ग्राम तक का अंतर हो सकता है। |
| दस रूपये | 7.74 ग्राम | बाहरी वलय (निकल तांबा) तांबा एवं जस्ता दोनों के लिए 1/100वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् तांबे की मात्रा में 74% से 76 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है तथा जस्ते की मात्रा में 19 % से 21% तक का अंतर एवं निकल के लिए 1/200वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् निकल की मात्रा में 4.5 % से 5.5 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है। मध्य भाग (निकल-चाँदी) तांबा एवं जस्ता दोनों के लिए 1/100वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् तांबे की मात्रा में 64% से 66 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है तथा जस्ते की मात्रा में 14 % से 16 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है और निकल के लिए 1/100वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् निकल की मात्रा में 19 % से 21 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है। | 1/40वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् वज़न में 7.55 ग्राम से लेकर 7.93 ग्राम तक का अंतर हो सकता है। |
| बीस रूपये | 8.54 ग्राम | बाहरी वलय (निकल चाँदी) तांबा एवं जस्ता दोनों के लिए 1/100वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् तांबे की मात्रा में 64% से 66 % तथा जस्ते की मात्रा में 14 % से 16 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है और निकल के लिए 1/100वां | 1/40वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् वज़न में 8.33 ग्राम से लेकर 8.75 ग्राम तक का अंतर हो सकता है। |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् निकल की मात्रा में 19 % से 21 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है।</p> <p>मध्य भाग (निकल-पीतल)</p> <p>तांबा एवं जस्ता दोनों के लिए 1/100वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् तांबे की मात्रा में 74% से 76 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है तथा जस्ते की मात्रा में 19 % से 21 % तक का अंतर एवं निकल के लिए 1/200वां हिस्सा कम या अधिक अर्थात् निकल की मात्रा में 4.5 % से 5.5 % तक का अंतर हो सकता है।</p> | |
|--|---|--|

[फा. सं. 02/06/2021-सिक्का]

मनीषा सिन्हा, संयुक्त सचिव

MINISTRY OF FINANCE
(Department of Economic Affairs)
NOTIFICATION

New Delhi, the 8th November, 2021

G.S.R. 783(E).—In exercise of the powers conferred by clauses (d) and (e) of sub-section (2) of section 24 of the Coinage Act, 2011 (11 of 2011), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules, namely:-

- Short title and commencement.**- (1) These rules may be called the Coinage of One Rupee, Two Rupees, Five Rupees, Ten Rupees and Twenty Rupees Rules, 2021.
(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.
- Denomination of Coins.**- The coins of One Rupee, Two Rupees, Five Rupees, Ten Rupees and Twenty Rupees shall be coined at the Mint for issue under the authority of Central Government.
- Dimensions and Composition of Coins.**- The coins of the above denominations mentioned in rule 2 shall conform to the following dimensions and composition namely:-

| Denomination of coins | Shape and outside diameter | Number of serrations | Metal composition |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| One Rupee | Circular 20 Milli-meters | NIL | Ferritic Stainless Steel Containing Iron -83%, Chromium -17% |
| Two Rupees | Circular 23 Milli-meters | 50 wider serrations on edge | Ferritic Stainless Steel Containing Iron -83%, Chromium -17% |
| Five Rupees | Circular 25 Milli-meters | NIL | Nickel Brass Copper: 75% Zinc: 20% Nickel: 05% |

| | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Ten Rupees | Circular 27 Milli-meters | 100 Serrations on edge | <p><u>OUTER RING</u> Nickel Brass Copper: 75% Zinc: 20% Nickel: 05%</p> <p><u>CENTRE PIECE</u> Nickel Silver Copper: 65% Zinc: 15% Nickel: 20%</p> |
| Twenty Rupees | 12 Edged (Polygon) 27 mm | NIL | <p><u>OUTER RING</u> Nickel Silver Copper: 65% Zinc: 15% Nickel: 20%</p> <p><u>CENTRE PIECE</u> Nickel Brass Copper: 75% Zinc: 20% Nickel: 05%</p> |

4. **Design.-** The design of the coins shall be as specified in the First Schedule to these rules.
5. **Standard Weight and Remedy allowed.-** The standard weight of the coin of the above denomination and the remedy allowed in making of such coins shall be as specified in the Second Schedule to these rules.

First Schedule

(See rule 4)

(a) **ONE RUPEE:**

(i) **OBVERSE:**

The face of the coin shall bear the Lion Capitol of Ashoka Pillar with the legend “सत्यमेव जयते” inscribed below, flanked on the left periphery with the word “भारत” in Hindi and on the right periphery with the word “INDIA” in English.

(ii) **REVERSE:**

The face of the coin shall bear image of official logo of “आज़ादी का अमृत महोत्सव” at the centre of coin. The Rupee symbol “₹” along with denominational value “1” in the international numeral shall be shown below the image of the logo. A text “75TH YEAR OF INDEPENDENCE” in English is written on top periphery of the coin. The year of minting in international numerals shall be shown on centre of left periphery of the coin.

(b) **TWO RUPEES:**

(i) **OBVERSE:**

The face of the coin shall bear the Lion Capitol of Ashoka Pillar with the legend “सत्यमेव जयते” inscribed below, flanked on the left periphery with the word “भारत” in Hindi and on the right periphery with the word “INDIA” in English.

(ii) REVERSE:

The face of the coin shall bear image of official logo of “आज़ादी का अमृत महोत्सव” at the centre of coin. The Rupee symbol “₹” along with denominational value “2” in the international numeral shall be shown below the image of logo. A text “75TH YEAR OF INDEPENDENCE” in English is written on top periphery of the coin. The year of minting in international numerals shall be shown on centre of left periphery of the coin

(c) FIVE RUPEES:**(i) OBVERSE:**

The face of the coin shall bear the Lion Capitol of Ashoka Pillar with the legend “सत्यमेव जयते” inscribed below, flanked on the left periphery with the word “भारत” in Hindi and on the right periphery with the word “INDIA” in English.

(ii) REVERSE:

The face of the coin shall bear image of official logo of “आज़ादी का अमृत महोत्सव” at the centre of coin. The Rupee symbol “₹” along with denominational value “5” in the international numeral shall be shown below the image of logo. A text “75TH YEAR OF INDEPENDENCE” in English is written on top periphery of the coin. The year of minting in international numerals shall be shown on centre of left periphery of the coin.

(d) TEN RUPEES:**(i) OBVERSE:**

The face of the coin shall bear the Lion Capitol of Ashoka Pillar with the legend “सत्यमेव जयते” inscribed below, flanked on the left periphery with the word “भारत” in Hindi and on the right periphery with the word “INDIA” in English.

(ii) REVERSE:

The face of the coin shall bear image of official logo of “आज़ादी का अमृत महोत्सव” at the centre of coin. The Rupee symbol “₹” along with denominational value “10” in the international numeral shall be shown below the image of logo. A text “75TH YEAR OF INDEPENDENCE” in English is written on top periphery of the coin. The year of minting in international numerals shall be shown on centre of left periphery of the coin.

(e) TWENTY RUPEES:**(i) OBVERSE:**

The face of the coin shall bear the Lion Capitol of Ashoka Pillar with the legend “सत्यमेव जयते” inscribed below, flanked on the left periphery with the word “भारत” in Hindi and on the right periphery with the word “INDIA” in English.

(ii) REVERSE:

The face of the coin shall bear image of official logo of “आज़ादी का अमृत महोत्सव” at the centre of coin. The Rupee symbol “₹” along with denominational value “20” in the international numeral shall be shown below the image of logo. A text “75TH YEAR OF INDEPENDENCE” in English is written on top periphery of the coin. The year of minting in international numerals shall be shown on centre of left periphery of the coin.

SECOND SCHEDULE

(See rule 5)

| Denomination of coin | Standard weight | Remedy allowed in composition | Remedy allowed in standard weight |
|----------------------|-----------------|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| One Rupee | 3.09 grams | 1/100 th plus or minus for Chromium, that is to say, Chromium content could vary between 16% to 18%. | 1/25 th plus or minus, that is to say, the weight could vary from 2.97 grams to 3.21 grams |

| | | | |
|---------------|------------|---|---|
| Two Rupees | 4.07 grams | 1/100 th plus or minus for Chromium, that is to say, Chromium content could vary between 16% to 18%. | 1/25 th plus or minus, that is to say, the weight could vary from 3.91 grams to 4.23 grams |
| Five Rupees | 6.74 grams | 1/100 th plus or minus for Copper and Zinc, that is to say, Copper content could vary from 74% to 76% and Zinc content from 19% to 21% and 1/200 th plus or minus for Nickel, that is to say Nickel content could vary from 4.5% to 5.5%. | 1/40 th plus or minus, that is to say, the weight could vary from 6.57 grams to 6.91grams |
| Ten Rupees | 7.74 grams | Outer Ring (Nickel Brass): 1/100 th plus or minus both for Copper and Zinc, that is to say, Copper content could vary from 74% to 76% and Zinc content from 19% to 21% and 1/200 th plus or minus for Nickel, that is to say Nickel content could vary from 4.5% to 5.5%. Centre Piece (Nickel Silver): 1/100 th plus or minus both for Copper and Zinc, that is to say, Copper content could vary from 64% to 66% and Zinc content from 14% to 16% and 1/100 th plus or minus for Nickel, that is to say Nickel content could vary from 19% to 21%. | 1/40 th plus or minus, that is to say, the weight could vary from 7.55 grams to 7.93 grams |
| Twenty Rupees | 8.54 grams | Outer Ring (Nickel Silver): 1/100 th plus or minus for both Copper and Zinc, that is to say, Copper content could vary from 64% to 66% and Zinc content from 14% to 16% and 1/100 th plus or minus for Nickel, that is to say Nickel content could vary from 19% to 21%. Centre Piece (Nickel Brass): 1/100 th plus or minus for both Copper and Zinc, that is to say, Copper content could vary from 74% to 76% and Zinc content from 19% to 21% and 1/200 th plus or minus for Nickel, that is to say Nickel content could vary from 4.5% to 5.5%. | 1/40 th plus or minus, that is to say, the weight could vary from 8.33 grams to 8.75 grams |

[F. No. 02/06/2021-Coin]
MANISHA SINHA, Jt. Secy.

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)

INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT

(A Unit of SPMCIL)

IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/24/00015 dated 06.07.2024

Surendra Kushwaha : Appellant
 Vs.
 CPIO(Tech), IGMH, : Respondent
 Hyderabad

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application under RTI Act, 2005 on June 19th, 2024 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”) through the RTI MIS Portal bearing Registration No: IGMHY/R/E/24/00079. The respondent disposed of the request vide his online reply dated June 20th, 2024 to the appellant. The appellant filed the present appeal dated July 06th, 2024 against the above response. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the Appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. From the Appeal, I note that the appellant is aggrieved by the respondent’s response to his application for not finding information on the Unit’s website pertaining to AKAM Series coins of various years.

Queries in the application:

| Sl No. | Date of Application | Information sought |
|--------|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | June 19 th , 2024 | Seeking following information under rti act 2005:- Q1. 1rs. coin 2022 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01--2022 to 31-12-2022? Q2. 2rs. coin 2022 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01--2022 to 31-12-2022? Q3. 5rs. coin 2022 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01--2022 to 31-12-2022? Q4. 10rs. coin 2022 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01--2022 to 31-12-2022? Q5. 20rs. coin 2022 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01--2022 to 31-12-2022? Q6. 1rs. coin 2023 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01--2023 to 31-12-2023? Q7. 2rs. coin 2023 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01--2023 to 31-12-2023? Q8. 5rs. coin 2023 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01--2023 to 31-12-2023? Q9. 10rs. coin 2023 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01--2023 to 31-12-2023? Q10. 20rs. coin 2023 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01--2023 to 31-12-2023? Q11. 1rs. coin 2024 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01-2024 to 31-12-2024? Q12. 2rs. coin 2024 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01-2024 to 31-12-2024? Q13. 5rs. coin 2024 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01-2024 to 31-12-2024? Q14. 10rs. coin 2024 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01-2024 to 31-12-2024? Q15. 20rs. coin 2024 based on the theme 75th year of azadi ka amrit mahotsav (AKAM SERIES). How many coins were minted by hyderabad mint between 01-01--2024 to 31-12-2024? Q16. When did hyderabad mint has stop minting of coins based on the theme 75th years of azadi ka amrit mahotsav?</p> |
|--|---|

3. The respondent provided the information to the appellant well within the prescribed period of time as per the provisions contained in the RTI Act, 2005.

4. **Grounds in Appeal** – The applicant raised the appeal as below:

“NOT ABLE TO FIND REQUESTED INFORMATION AS PER DIRECTION GIVEN IN REPLY OF REQUEST. PLEASE PROVIDE REQUESTED INFORMATION SEPARATELY.”

5. In this regard, the FAA observes that requisite information as is available with the public authority has already been uploaded in the Public Domain at the domain address, <https://igmhyderabad.spmcil.com/en/discover-spmcil/#rticompliance/> (under RTI Compliance Tab). That apart the same has been communicated to the Appellant by the concerned CPIO with regards to Sl.No.1 to 15 and for Sl.No.16 information has been provided by the CPIO. Under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 the CPIO is not required to compile the information he is only required to provide the information as is available with the public authority.

Since the information as available with the public authority has already been made available at the aforementioned domain under Pro-Active disclosure norms of the Act in terms of RTI Query replies to various applicants, the reply provided by the CPIO is in line with the provisions of the Act.

6. In furtherance of the provisions of the RTI Act, direct links for all such files are provided below for the sake of brevity containing relevant information as available:

2022: <https://igmhyderabad.spmcil.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/RTI-Request-and-Replies-As-on-31.12.2022-1.pdf>

2023: <https://igmhyderabad.spmcil.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/RTI-requests-and-replies-as-on-31.12.2023.pdf>

2024: <https://igmhyderabad.spmcil.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/RTI-requests-and-replies-as-on-06.07.2024.pdf>

7. In exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the appellate authority disposes of this first appeal with the above information.

8. **Accordingly, the Appeal stands disposed.**

APPEAL No. IGMHY/A/E/24/00015

The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

**Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.**

Sd/-

Place: Hyderabad

(Sunil Tiwari)

Date: July 27th, 2024

APPELLATE AUTHORITY &

To,
Sri. Surendra Kushwaha.

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT
(A Unit of SPMCIL)
IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051
First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/24/00016 dated 23.07.2024

K ASHOK : Appellant
Vs.
CPIO(TECH), IGMH, : Respondent
Hyderabad

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application dated June 07th, 2024 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”) through the RTI MIS Portal bearing Registration No: IGMHY/R/E/24/00016. The appellant filed the present appeal aggrieved by non-receipt of RTI Reply.
2. **Grounds in Appeal** – The applicant raised the appeal dated 15.07.2024 on the ground that, “*No Response Within the Time Limit.*” And stated as below:

“Information not provided as per RTI application,last 40days..”
3. I note that the appellant had sought information with respect to Electrical Maintenance Department.
4. Against this request CPIO(Tech) vide his RTI reply dated 19.07.2024 has provided the requisite information running into 07 Pages
5. In this regard, the undersigned had sought comments from the Respondent CPIO with whom the information is maintained with respect to the relief being sought by the Appellant.
6. Since, the information as sought by the Appellant has already been provided by the respondent CPIO on 19.07.2024, no further action is warranted in this matter.
7. In exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the Appeal stands disposed.

- 8 The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal.

**Central Information Commission,
Room No. 305, 2nd Floor,
CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.**

Sd/-

Place: Hyderabad

Date: July 26th, 2024

F.No. IGMH/RTI/FAA/2021-22 /

(Sunil Tiwari)

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY &
GENERAL MANAGER**

To,
Sri.K.Ashok,
H.no.11/1, Mogilicherla,
Geesugonda,
Warangal -506006.

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)

INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT

(A Unit of SPMCIL)

IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/24/00017 dated 29.08.2024

Rajesh Tripathi : Appellant
Vs.
CPIO(Tech), IGMH, : Respondent
Hyderabad

ORDER

- 1) Shri.Rajesh Tripathi has filed an RTI Request bearing Reg.no.IGMHY/R/E/23/00040 dated 07.04.2023, Seeking certain details.
- 2) The RTI Request has been disposed of by the CPIO on 25.04.2023 providing the relevant information.
- 3) It is seen that the appeal has been filed after a period of 493 days after disposal of the RTI Application.
- 4) As per Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, any person who, does not receive a decision within the time specified in sub-section (1) or clause (a) of sub-section (3) of section 7, or is aggrieved by a decision of the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, may within thirty days from the expiry of such period or from the receipt of such a decision prefer an appeal to such officer who is senior in rank to the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer as the case may be, in each public authority.
- 5) Further, it is also observed that the queries raised in the Original RTI Application and present appeal differ in contents. As per common law principles an Appellate Authority can only consider such aspects and facts as are put-forth before the below authority.
- 6) In view of the above, no fault can be found as far as the information provided by the CPIO.
- 7) With the above observations the appeal stands disposed of.. The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.

Place: Hyderabad

Date: September 19th, 2024

Sd/-

(Sunil Tiwari)

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY &
Chief General Manager.**

To,
Sri. Rajesh Tripathi

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)

INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT

(A Unit of SPMCIL)

IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/24/00018 dated 29.08.2024

Rajesh Tripathi : Appellant
Vs.
CPIO(Tech), IGMH, : Respondent
Hyderabad

ORDER

- 1) Shri.Rajesh Tripathi has filed an RTI Request bearing Reg.no.IGMHY/R/E/23/00041 dated 07.04.2023, Seeking certain details.
- 2) The RTI Request has been disposed of by the CPIO on 25.04.2023 providing the relevant information.
- 3) It is seen that the appeal has been filed after a period of 493 days after disposal of the RTI Application.
- 4) As per Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, any person who, does not receive a decision within the time specified in sub-section (1) or clause (a) of sub-section (3) of section 7, or is aggrieved by a decision of the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, may within thirty days from the expiry of such period or from the receipt of such a decision prefer an appeal to such officer who is senior in rank to the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer as the case may be, in each public authority.
- 5) Further, it is also observed that the queries raised in the Original RTI Application and present appeal differ in contents. As per common law principles an Appellate Authority can only consider such aspects and facts as are put-forth before the below authority.
- 6) In view of the above, no fault can be found as far as the information provided by the CPIO.
- 7) With the above observations the appeal stands disposed of.. The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.

Place: Hyderabad

Date: September 19th, 2024

Sd/-

(Sunil Tiwari)

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY &
Chief General Manager.**

To,
Sri. Rajesh Tripathi

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)

INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT

(A Unit of SPMCIL)

IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/24/00019 dated 30.08.2024

Rajesh Tripathi : Appellant
Vs.
CPIO(Tech), IGMH, : Respondent
Hyderabad

ORDER

- 1) Shri.Rajesh Tripathi has filed an RTI Request bearing Reg.no.IGMHY/R/E/23/00044 dated 09.04.2023, Seeking certain details.
- 2) The RTI Request has been disposed of by the CPIO on 25.04.2023 providing the relevant information.
- 3) It is seen that the appeal has been filed after a period of 494 days after disposal of the RTI Application.
- 4) As per Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, any person who, does not receive a decision within the time specified in sub-section (1) or clause (a) of sub-section (3) of section 7, or is aggrieved by a decision of the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, may within thirty days from the expiry of such period or from the receipt of such a decision prefer an appeal to such officer who is senior in rank to the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer as the case may be, in each public authority.
- 5) Further, it is also observed that the queries raised in the Original RTI Application and present appeal differ in contents. As per common law principles an Appellate Authority can only consider such aspects and facts as are put-forth before the below authority.
- 6) In view of the above, no fault can be found as far as the information provided by the CPIO.
- 7) With the above observations the appeal stands disposed of.. The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.

Sd/-

Place: Hyderabad

Date: September 19th, 2024

(Sunil Tiwari)

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY &
Chief General Manager.**

To,
Sri. Rajesh Tripathi