

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IGMHY/A/E/25/00001

Applicant Name		Mahesh Binawade		
Text of Appeal		False information is produced by the officer. First, read the question properly and then answer it. The officer is representative of the mint which is under the control of Government of India. You are requested also provide information that which penal action will be taken against the said officer who produced the false information.		
Reply of Appeal		Appeal No:IGMHY/A/E/25/00001 dated: 13.02.2025. Date of Disposal of the Appeal: 07.03.2025 Order 1) Shri.Mahesh Binawade has filed an RTI Request bearing Reg.no.IGMHY/R/E/25/00006 dated 17.01.2025, Seeking certain details. 2) Having not satisfied with the RTI reply tendered by the CPIO, the Applicant has filed the instant appeal. 3) After perusing the RTI Application & Reply tendered by the CPIO, it is found that the CPIO has nor provide the requisite information as sought by the Applicant. 4) It is found that the Applicant has following informatoon: Information of coinage production at Hydrabad mint of each denomination wise and financial year wise from year 1950 to till date, whichever record available at Hyderabad Mint. 5) It is therefore ordered that the CPIO provide the requisite information as is available in the records within 15 working days from the date of receipt of this order.6) With the above Order the appeal stands disposed of. The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appealapplication/onlineappealapplication . Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067. Sunil Tiwari, CGM & Appellate Authority.		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	13/02/2025		
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	07/03/2025	FAA - SUNIL TIWARI	
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	07/03/2025	Nodal Officer	Online
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IGMHY/A/E/25/00002

Applicant Name	Mahesh Binawade
Text of Appeal	Information of Mintage of each set has not been produced by the mint.
Reply of Appeal	Appeal No:IGMHY/A/E/25/00002 dated: 13.02.2025. Date of Disposal of the Appeal: 08.03.2025 Order 1) Shri.Mahesh Binawade has filed an RTI Request bearing Reg.no.IGMHY/R/E/25/00007 dated 18.01.2025, Seeking certain details. 2) Having not satisfied with the RTI reply tendered by the CPIO, the Applicant has filed the instant appeal. 3) After perusing the RTI Application & Reply tendered by the CPIO, it is found that the CPIO has nor provide the requisite information as sought by the Applicant. 4) It is found that the Applicant has following information: Mintage of Proof Set of Commemorative Coins - Proof set wise - issued by Hyderabad Mint from year 2000 to till date of reply of Application (Whichever record available at Hyderabad mint). 2) Mintage of UNC Set of Commemorative Coins - UNC set wise - issued by Hyderabad Mint from year 2000 to till date of reply of Application (Whichever record available at Hyderabad mint). 5) It is therefore ordered that the CPIO provide the requisite information as is available in the records within 15 working days from the date of receipt of this order.6) With the above Order the appeal stands disposed of. The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appealapplication/onlineappealapplication . Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067. Sunil Tiwari, CGM & Appellate Authority.

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	13/02/2025		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	07/03/2025	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	08/03/2025	FAA - SUNIL TIWARI	

[Print](#)

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IGMHY/A/E/25/00003

Applicant Name	Mohsin Rafique Tanwar
Text of Appeal	Not satisfied with the answer please any related information to the original information would also suffice anything relatedto it
Reply of Appeal	<p>First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/25/00003 dated 26.02.2025 ORDER 1. The appellant filed an application dated February 11, 2025 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 . The respondent disposed of the request vide his reply dated February 25th, 2025 to the appellant. The appellant filed the present appeal dated February 26, 2025 against the above response. 2. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the Appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record. 3. From the Appeal, I note that the appellant is aggrieved by the respondent's response to his application for not providing/refusing information 4. The respondent provided the information to the appellant well within the prescribed period of time as per the provisions contained in the RTI Act, 2005. 5. Grounds in Appeal – The applicant raised the appeal on the following issues as stated as below: “Not satisfied with the answer please any related information to the original information would also suffice anything relatedto it ” 6. I note that the appellant had sought information with respect to Inquiry regarding Minting detail of India Circulation coins (definitive coin having metal aluminium magnesium) (A) 1 paisa of year 1965 (B) 5 paisa of year 1979 (C) 5 paisa of year 1981 (D) 20 paisa of year 1983 (E) 20 paisa of year 1984. Against this request CPIO(HR) vide his RTI reply dated 17.01.2023 has provided the following information: “the information sought is not available as per the records being maintained by this Mint.” 7. It is observed from the Reply to the RTI Application that the CPIO has stated that, no records are available as far as information requested by the applicant. The FAA observes that, the CPIO is obliged to provide the information to the extent it is available in their records. This legal principle is supported by the decision of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court in its order dated 07-01-2016 of Page 3 of 4 in LPA 24/2015 & CM No. 965/2015 titled as “The Registrar of Supreme Court of India v. Commodore Lokesh K Batra & Ors.,” 8. In view of the above, no further consideration by the FAA in this regard is required. 9. In exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the appellate authority finds no reason to interfere with the responses provided by the respondent CPIO. 10. The Appeal stands disposed. The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal. Central Information Commission, Room No. 305, 2nd Floor, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067. SUNIL TIWARI FAA& CGM</p>

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	26/02/2025		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	07/03/2025	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	18/03/2025	FAA - SUNIL TIWARI	

[Print](#)

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/25/00004 dated 22.03.2025

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application dated March 03, 2025 under the Right to Information Act, 2005. The respondent disposed of the request vide his reply dated March 20th, 2025 to the appellant. The appellant filed the present appeal dated March 22nd, 2025 against the above response.

2. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the Appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.

3. From the Appeal, I note that the appellant is aggrieved by the respondent's response to his application for providing incomplete or false information.

4. The respondent provided the information to the appellant well within the prescribed period of time as per the provisions contained in the RTI Act, 2005.

5. Grounds in Appeal – The applicant raised the appeal on the following issues as stated as below:

"1. The denomination wise , year wise , theme wise , metal wise , weight wise , size wise shape wise and design wise , total number of coins of One Pice , Half Anna , One Anna , Two Anna , 1/4 Rupee , 1/2 Rupee , 1 Naya Paisa , 1 Paisa , 2 Naye Paise , 2 Paise , 3 Paise , 5 Naye Paise , 5 Paise , 10 Naye Paise , 10 Paise , 20 Paise , 25 Naye Paise , 25 Paise , 50 Naye Paise , 50 Paise , 1 Rupee , 2 Rupee , 5 Rupee , 10 Rupee and Rs.20 total number of Definitive Coins minted from Year 1950 to 2025. This is the information I wanted from the mint but I received the incomplete information from year 2005 to 2023 and also they also gave me half information. I wanted the information of the coins theme wise , denomination wise , metal wise, size wise and shape wise . But they have replied in the manner I cant understand. And provide me the incomplete reply .kindly look into the matter and send the complete information. I am also attaching the reply copy I have recd from hyderabad mint ."

6. I note that the appellant had sought information with respect to 15 points on various denominations and themes from the year 1950 to 2025.

7. In this regard, the undersigned has sought comments from the concerned CPIO through RTI MIS portal on 22.03.2025. The CPIO vide his reply dated 22.03.2025 has submitted the following comments.

Sir, it is to submit the following point wise reply:

1. Information as available from the year 2005 to 2024 has already been provided.

No further information is available.

2. Information as available from the year 2005 to 2024 has already been provided.

No further information is available.

3. Only AKAM series coins have been minted since the year 2021 and the details are already provided to the Applicant.

4. Agriculture Dominance-Theme: Re.1-2019: 366.4500MPCs Re.1-2020:16.8500 MPCs Re.2-2019:375.0000 MPCs Re.2-2020: 79.5000 MPCs Re.5-2019: 68.8050 MPCs Re.5-2020:218.0525 MPCs Re.10-2019: 328.2020 MPCs Re.10-2020:257.0680 MPCs Re.20-2019-2022: Information not available.

Sl.No. 5 to 15: Separate information as to the individual themes not maintained entire production with respect to each denomination from 2005 to 2024 is already provided.

8. It is observed from the Reply to the RTI Application that the CPIO has stated that, no records are available as far as information requested by the applicant for Points Sl.No.1, 2 & 5 to 15. The FAA observes that, the CPIO is obliged to provide the information to the extent it is available in their records. This legal

principle is supported by the decision of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court in its order dated 07-01-2016 of Page 3 of 4 in LPA 24/2015 & CM No. 965/2015 titled as "The Registrar of Supreme Court of India v. Commodore Lokesh K Batra & Ors.,"

9. In view of the above, no further consideration by the FAA in this regard is required.

10. In exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the appellate authority finds no reason to interfere with the responses provided by the respondent CPIO.

11. The Appeal stands disposed.

The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal.

Central Information Commission,
Room No. 305, 2nd Floor,
CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.

Sd/-

Date: 27.03.2025

(SUNIL TIWARI)
FAA& CGM

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)

INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT

(A Unit of SPMCIL)

IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/25/00005 dated 24.04.2025

Indranil Banerjee

:

Appellant

Vs.

CPIO(TO), IGMH,
Hyderabad

:

Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application dated April 23rd, 2025 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”) through the RTI MIS Portal bearing Registration No: IGMHY/R/E/25/00027. The respondent disposed of the request vide his online reply dated April 25th, 2025 to the appellant. The appellant filed the present appeal dated April 25th, 2025 against the above response. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the Appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. From the Appeal, I note that the appellant is aggrieved by the respondent’s response to his application for not providing information as sought with respect to Job roles of different posts.

Queries in the application:

Sl No.	Date of Application	Information sought
1	April 23 rd , 2025	1. Has Hyderabad mint ever produced any 20 paisa coin bearing the date 1982 without any mint mark? 2. What is the total number of such coins (mintage) dated 1982 without a mint mark, produced by Hyderabad mint?

3. The respondent provided the information to the appellant well within the prescribed period of time as per the provisions contained in the RTI Act, 2005.
4. **Grounds in Appeal** – The applicant raised the appeal as below:

“PLEASE PROVIDE CORRECT INFORMATION.WHY HAIDRABAD MINT HAS NO INFORMATION ON IT? 1. Has Hyderabad mint ever produced any 20 paisa coin bearing the date 1982 without any mint mark? 2. What is the total number of such coins (mintage) dated 1982 without a mint mark, produced by Hyderabad mint.”

5. In this regard, the FAA observes that requisite information has already been provided to the Appellant by the concerned CPIO. It is also observed that all the bullion records prior to 2000 have been disposed off under Record Retention Schedule.
6. The FAA observes that, the CPIO is obliged to provide the information to the extent it is available in their records. Also, if the information in the manner sought by the applicant is not available, there is no bounden duty on the CPIO to create any fresh compilation for non-existent records. This legal principle is supported by the decision of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court in its order dated 07-01-2016 of Page 3 of 4 in LPA 24/2015 & CM No. 965/2015 titled as "*The Registrar of Supreme Court of India v. Commodore Lokesh K Batra & Ors.*," wherein, it was held as under:-

"15. On a combined reading of Section 4(1) (a) and Section 2(i), it appears to us that the requirement is only to maintain the records in a manner which facilitates the right to information under the Act. As already noticed above, "right to information" under Section 2(j) means only the right to information which is held by any public authority. We do not find any other provision under the Act under which a direction can be issued to the public authority to collate the information in the manner in which it is sought by the applicant."

7. Also, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP(C) NO. 7526/2009 (CBSE & Anr. Vs. Aditya Bandopadhyay & Ors) had held that:

"35. At this juncture, it is necessary to clear some misconceptions about the RTI Act. The RTI Act provides access to all information that is available and existing.

.... the Act does not cast an obligation upon the public authority, to collect or collate such non-available information and then furnish it to an applicant...."

8. In exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the appellate authority finds no reason to interfere with the responses provided by the respondent CPIO.

9. **Accordingly, the Appeal stands disposed.**

The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

**Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067.**

Place: Hyderabad

Date: May 10th, 2025

F.No. IGMH/RTI/FAA/2021-22 /

To,
Shri. Indranil Banerjee,
Banerjee Bhaban, Near Binola Kalitala, Vill-Binalakrishnabati, P.O-Thalia, Howrah, West
Bengal- 711401.

APPELLATE AUTHORITY &
GENERAL MANAGER(TO) & HOD

(S.R.Wajpe)
V. Bolega
10/5/25

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)

INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT

(A Unit of SPMCIL)

IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051

First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/25/00006 dated 24.04.2025

Hardeep Singh

:

Appellant

Vs.

CPIO(TO), IGMH,
Hyderabad

:

Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application dated April 12th, 2025 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“RTI Act”) through the RTI MIS Portal bearing Registration No: IGMHY/R/E/25/00023. The respondent disposed of the request vide his online reply dated April 24th, 2025 to the appellant. The appellant filed the present appeal dated April 24th, 2025 against the above response. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the Appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. From the Appeal, I note that the appellant is aggrieved by the respondent’s response to his application for not providing information as sought with respect to Job roles of different posts.

Queries in the application:

Sl No.	Date of Application	Information sought
1	April 12 th , 2025	Give me the following mintage and state with if any error happen in the following denominations for the year 2000 to 2025 rs 1 rs 2 rs 5 rs 10 2. rs shivaji hyderabad mintage 1999 1997 2 rs shubash chndra bose mintage hyd and also state about the button on coat in some coins are missing and in some present also state about what are the error happen in it

3. The respondent provided the information to the appellant well within the prescribed period of time as per the provisions contained in the RTI Act, 2005.
4. **Grounds in Appeal** – The applicant raised the appeal as below:

“PLEASE RESPONSE THE FOLLOWING DETAILS

MENTIONED MINTAGE OF COINS FROM year 2000 to 2025 of following denominations rs 1 ,rs 2 ,rs 5 ,rs 10 , rs 20 also mention the total mintage of all commertive circulation coin by hyd mint from year 2000 to 2025 ans what is the mintage of 1999 shivaji hyderabad."

5. In this regard, the FAA observes that CPIO has informed the applicant that no information is available in the records being maintained by this Mint.
6. The FAA observes that, the CPIO is obliged to provide the information to the extent it is available in their records. Also, if the information in the manner sought by the applicant is not available, there is no bounden duty on the CPIO to create any fresh compilation for non-existent records. This legal principle is supported by the decision of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court in its order dated 07-01-2016 of Page 3 of 4 in I.P.A. 24/2015 & CM No. 965/2015 titled as **"The Registrar of Supreme Court of India v. Commodore Lokesh K Batra & Ors.,"** wherein, it was held as under:-

"15. On a combined reading of Section 4(1) (a) and Section 2(i), it appears to us that the requirement is only to maintain the records in a manner which facilitates the right to information under the Act. As already noticed above, "right to information" under Section 2(j) means only the right to information which is held by any public authority. We do not find any other provision under the Act under which a direction can be issued to the public authority to collate the information in the manner in which it is sought by the applicant."

7. Also, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP(C) NO. 7526/2009 (CBSE & Anr. Vs. Aditya Bandopadhyay & Ors) had held that:

"35. At this juncture, it is necessary to clear some misconceptions about the RTI Act. The RTI Act provides access to all information that is available and existing.

.... the Act does not cast an obligation upon the public authority, to collect or collate such non-available information and then furnish it to an applicant....."

8. In view of the above position, the undersigned in exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the appellate authority finds no reason to interfere with the responses provided by the respondent CPIO.

9. Accordingly, the Appeal stands disposed.
The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

**Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067.**

Place: Hyderabad

Date: May 10th, 2025

F.No. IGMH/RTI/FAA/2021-22 /

To, Shri. Hardeep Singh, 234/a, Sheetal Nagar, Indore- 452010.

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY &
GENERAL MANAGER(TO) & HOD**

(S.R.Wajpe)

dc st. R

V. Bdegr
10/5/25

APPEAL No. IGMHY/A/E/25/00007

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
INDIA GOVERNMENT MINT
(A Unit of SPMCIL)
IDA.Phase.II, HCL Post, Cherlapally, Hyderabad – 500 051
First Appeal No. IGMHY/A/E/25/00007 dated 09.05.2025

Vineet Landia

:

Appellant

Vs.

CPIO(TO), IGMH,
Hyderabad

:

Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application dated April 11th, 2025 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”) through the RTI MIS Portal bearing Registration No: IGMHY/R/T/25/00005. The respondent disposed of the request vide his online reply dated April 24th, 2025 to the appellant. The appellant filed the present appeal dated May 09th, 2025 against the above response. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the Appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. From the Appeal, I note that the appellant is aggrieved by the respondent’s response to his application for not providing information as sought with respect to Job roles of different posts.

Queries in the application:

Sl No.	Date of Application	Information sought
1	April 11 th , 2025	I would like to obtain the following information from your public authority under the RTI Act,2005: 1.The denomination-wise year wise , Design Wise , Metal wise , Mint wise total number of definitive coins of Re. 1/-, Rs. 2/-, Rs. 5/- Rs. 10/- and Rs. 20/- minted after the independence of India i.e 15th August 1947 till date , or from the latest date available till financial year 2023-24 issued for general circulation. 2.The denomination-wise year wise , Design Wise , Metal wise , Mint wise total number of commemorative coins of Re. 1/-, Rs. 2/-, Rs. 5/- Rs. 10/- and Rs. 20/- minted after the independence of India i.e 15th August 1947 till date , or from the latest date available till financial year 2023-24 issued for general circulation.

3. The respondent provided the information to the appellant well within the prescribed period of time as per the provisions contained in the RTI Act, 2005.
4. **Grounds in Appeal** – The applicant raised the appeal as below:

“Dear Sir , I have asked 2 question in very simple language . First 1 was for

definitive issue issue coins . I had received a reply but not properly . Like for example I asked AKAM coin year wise , but they didnt send me proper reply . Fir year 2021 they didnt send me how many mintage was there . Also for copper nickel coins also minted from 1982 to 1991 . Like wise there are many more coins . My 2nd question was for Commemorative coins issued for general circulation from 5 paise to 10 rupees minted from 1950 till 2025 , theme wise and denomination wise and metal wise. But they had send me the reply for proof coins and unc coins details . Also there was not mentioned the number like in mpcs or pcs for Commemorative coins . Please look upon the matter and send me proper reply both points . Thanks in advance for your prompt reply .."

5. Perused the RTI Appeal, RTI Request & Information provided by the Concerned CPIO.

6. **Points for Consideration:**

a) Whether the information requested by the Appellant was not properly provided to the Appellant? Further, whether the information sought by the Appellant with respect to AKAM coin year wise was not provided and whether the Mintage for the year 2021 was not provided to the Appellant including the details of copper nickel coins minted from 1982 to 1991?

b) Whether, the information pertaining to Commemorative coins issued for general circulation from 5 paise to 10 rupees minted from 1950 till 2025 , theme wise and denomination wise and metal wise was not provided to the Appellant?

The points standing for consideration of the FAA are dealt as below:

a) Whether the information requested by the Appellant was not properly provided to the Appellant? Further, whether the information sought by the Appellant with respect to AKAM coin year wise was not provided and whether the Mintage for the year 2021 was not provided to the Appellant including the details of copper nickel coins minted from 1982 to 1991?

It is seen on perusal of the RTI Reply that entire production details from the Financial year 2000-01 to 2023-24 has been duly provided by the CPIO to the Appellant along with Metal used and Design of the Coin as sought.

Further, the Appellant in the present appeal has advanced an argument that details with respect to AKAM coin year wise was not provided. It is seen from the records that the CPIO had already provided requisite production details pertaining to AKAM series for the F.Y(s) 2022-23 & 2023-24. That apart, it is observed that the original RTI Application advanced by the Appellant did not specifically seek the details pertaining to AKAM coins, however, despite the same the CPIO has provided the requisite information.

As regards to the Contention of the Appellant that the Mintage for the year 2021 was not provided to him is concerned, it is seen that the CPIO has already provided Mintage for the F.Y 2021-2022 consisting the relevant data. In these circumstances the said argument cannot be accepted to be true.

Further, with respect to non-provision of information pertaining to Copper-Nickel

Coins minted during 1982 to 1991 is concerned, the Appellant is hereby informed that bullion records prior to the year 2000 have been disposed of in terms of Record Retention Schedule.

- b) Whether, the information pertaining to Commemorative coins issued for general circulation from 5 paise to 10 rupees minted from 1950 till 2025, theme wise and denomination wise and metal wise was not provided to the Appellant?

On perusal of the RTI Reply, it is seen that CPIO has provided details pertaining to UNC Commemorative Coins. Therefore, the following information pertaining to Circulated Coins as are available in the records is hereby being provided to the Appellant:

Theme & Production in MPCs
1. Saint alphonsa-10.3200MPCS
2. Perarignar Anna.- 10.4000Mpc's
3. 60 years of commonwealth.- 5.0100Mpc's
4. Dr. Rajendra Prasad.- 5.0750Mpc's
5. C.subramaniam.- 5.5000 Mpc's
6. 1000 years of brihadeeswarar temple. - 5.1200 Mpc's
7. Income tax- 150 years of building india.- 10.3175 Mpc's
8. Mother Teresa.- 5.2525 Mpc's
9. 19th commonwealth Games- Delhi 2010. - 5.2875 Mpc's
10. Comptroller and auditor general of India.- 5.1000 Mpc's
11. 150th Birth Anniversary of Rabindranath Tagore.- 10.0575 Mpc's
12. 100 Years of Civil Aviation in India.- 5.1025 Mpc's
13. 150 Years of the Kuka Movement.- 5.1950 Mpc's
14. 100 Years of Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR). 5.4025 Mpc's
15. 150 Years of the Income Tax Department. 10.3175 Mpc's
16. 150 Years of the Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) of India.- 5.1000 Mpc's
17. 150 Years of Madan Mohan Malaviya. - 5.3750 Mpc's
18. 60 Years of the Parliament of India.- 5.2950 Mpc's
19. 60 Years of India Government Mint, Kolkata. 5.2050 Mpc's
20. 150th Birth Anniversary of Motilal Nehru.- 5.0500 Mpc's
21. Mata Vaishno Devi Shrine Board Commemoration.- 99.4000 Mpc's
22. Acharya Tulsi Birth Centenary.- 5.4800 Mpc's
23. 125th Birth Anniversary of Maulana Abul Kalam Azad. - 5.0000 Mpc's
24. Birth Centenary of Begum Akhtar. - 5.0000 Mpc's
25. BHEL - 50 Years of Engineering Excellence. - 5.2000 Mpc's
26. Centenary of the Komagata Maru Incident. - 5.2000 Mpc's
27. 175th Birth Anniversary of Jamsetji Nusserwanji Tata. - 6.175 Mpc's
28. Birth centenary of Rani gaidinliu- 5.2050 Mpc's
29. Allahabad high court- 150th anniversary- 1.4800 Mpc's
30. Biju Patnaik birth centenary - 5.5000
31. 125 birth anniversary of Jawaharlal Nehru - year 2014 - 5.1250 MPCS
32. University of Mysore centenary celebrations 1916-2016 - 5.5150 MPCS
33. State bank of India 1806- 2006 year -. 10.0980 mpcs
34. ONGC Celebrating 50 Years 1956-2006 - 13.7860 mpcs
35. 10 rupee centenary year of Banaras Hindu university year 2016 -5.1920 Mpcs
36. 10 rupee 150th years of national archives of india year 2016 - 5.0500 Mpcs
37. 10 Rs 3rd India-Africa forum year 2015 - 5.2000 Mpcs
38. 10 rupee 475th birth anniversary of Maharana Pratap year -5.4720 Mpcs

39. 10 rupee 150th birth anniversary of lala Lajpat Rai 1955 2015 year 2015 - 6.7200 Mpcs
40. 10 rupee 60 years of the parliament of india 1952 2012 - 5.1200 Mpcs
41. 10 rupee shri Mata Vaishno devi sign board 2012 - 31.8940 Mpcs
42. 10 rupee 60 years of coir board 1953 2013 year 2013 - 5.3600 Mpcs
43. 10 rupee Mahatma Gandhi return from Africa centenary commemoration 1915 - 5.200 Mpcs
44. 10 rupee international day of yoga year 2015 - 5.1000 Mpcs
45. 10 rupee 125th birth anniversary of B R Ambekar year 2015 - 5.1600 Mpcs
46. 10 rupee birth centenary of swami chinmayanand year 2015 - 5.1200 Mpcs
47. 10 rupee 125th birth anniversary of Dr S Radhakrishnan 2015 - 3.1000 Mpcs 4.

7. In view of the above position, the undersigned in exercise of the powers, conferred upon the Appellate Authority under Section 19(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005, the appellate authority disposes of the Appeal with the above information

8. **Accordingly, the Appeal stands disposed.**

The decision can be appealed against to CIC within a period of 90 days at below mentioned address or through the online RTI portal - <https://dsscic.nic.in/online-appeal-application/onlineappealapplication>.

**Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067.**

Place: Hyderabad

Date: May 10th, 2025

F.No. IGMH/RTI/FAA/2021-22 /

To,
Shri. Vineet Lundia,
Royal Residency, Zakaria Street,
Atghara, Rajarhat,
Go Block-A, Flat-106, Near Dashdrone SBI ATM,
North 24 Paraganas, West Bengal- 700 136

(S.R.Wajpe)

APPELLATE AUTHORITY &
GENERAL MANAGER(TO) & HOD

st a

V. B. J. J.

10/5/25